

**To:** Grantham, Nancy[Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Reynolds, Thomas[Reynolds.Thomas@epa.gov]; Abrams, Dan[Abrams.Dan@epa.gov]  
**From:** Purchia, Liz  
**Sent:** Thur 9/24/2015 7:56:42 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Water Treatment System for Gold King Mine

Could you please work on a response with Christie?

I'll take a look but I am on a plane starting at 5. She should have the QA on this.

Liz Purchia  
U.S. EPA  
202-564-6691  
202-841-2230

On Sep 24, 2015, at 3:53 PM, Grantham, Nancy <[Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov](mailto:Grantham.Nancy@epa.gov)> wrote:

**From:** Daniel Bloom [[DanielBloom@cqrollcall.com](mailto:DanielBloom@cqrollcall.com)]  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 24, 2015 3:52 PM  
**To:** Press <[Press@epa.gov](mailto:Press@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Water Treatment System for Gold King Mine

To Whom It May Concern,

I need an on-the-record comment as to why EPA's Gold King Mine Contractor – Environmental Restoration – still have the ability to award a subcontract following the Aug. 5 wastewater breach into Colorado's Animas River. Was their authority over the remediation effort not halted and stripped from them while EPA handles the clean up duties in the region? I need more information as well regarding the water treatment system that will be put in place in the area through the '15 winter. Thanks!

Sincerely,

Daniel Bloom

Energy Reporter

CQ Roll Call

(202) 650-6843

danielbloom@cqrollcall.com

---

This e-mail may contain confidential material. If you are not an intended recipient, please notify the sender and delete all copies. It may also contain personal views which are not the views of CQ Roll Call or its owner, The Economist Group. We may monitor e-mail to and from our network. For company information go to <http://legal.economistgroup.com>.